

Campus Fee Advisory Committee (CFAC)

Meeting Minutes

October 10th, 2025, 11am, via Zoom

ATTENDEES

Chair: Katie Olivo, Chair

Members:

Karen Holstrom	Sridhar Seshagiri
Milan Rawls	Temple Northup
Abby McLachlan	Congcong Zheng
Aliza Siddiqui	Alana Ritchison
Nadia Gallarzo	Mary Anne Kremicki
Julia Biesman	Rashmi Paraba
Marco Loza	Crystal Little
Andrea Acuna	Antonio Deninno
Joselyn Velez	Randy Timm (for Rashmi)

Guests:

Melanie Keeton	Christina Brown
Carly Strampfer	

1. CALL TO ORDER: Katie Olivo, CFAC Chair, called the meeting to order at 11:03am
2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA and PREVIOUS MINUTES:
 - a. September 26th, 2025
 - i. Approved – No objections
3. PROPOSALS:
4. ACTIONS ITEMS:
 - a. IRA Fee – Identify Pro/Con Statements
 - i. Explained that committee will decide on one Pro and one Con statement to be included in the final fee pamphlet
 1. There will be the chance for a rebuttal from student before including it in the pamphlet
 - ii. Opened discussion to group for feedback on previously gathered Pro statements
 1. P 3 - Positive feedback from committee
 - a. From Student Athlete

- b. Touched on other aspects of the fee, not just athletics
 - 2. P 2 – Positive feedback from committee
 - a. Diversified statement
 - b. Positive impact on nonstudent athletes
 - c. Staff supported this pro given that this was the most rounded response
 - iii. Voted on P2 as Pro statement
 - 1. Passes with majority vote
 - iv. Opened discussion to group for feedback on previously gathered Con statements
 - 1. C 12 – Feedback from committee
 - a. Highlight % increase in fee
 - 2. C 4 – Feedback from committee
 - a. Highlights how students already have lots of other fees to pay
 - b. Addresses the other ways this fee is split
 - c. Supportive use of numbers to highlight con
 - d. Brevity and succinctness of this Con might resonate with more students
 - e. Staff supported this con given that it was much more concise and drove its argument quickly
 - 3. C 13 - Feedback from committee
 - a. Holistic view that compares nicely with previous Pro statements
 - b. Touches on monetary implications to students
 - c. Comments on how money collected from fee will only be used for Student Athletes
 - 4. C 3 – Feedback from committee
 - a. Has some errors, would need to be corrected to ensure accurate information
 - b. Captures frustrations from University Senate over the last few years
 - c. Provides detailed summary, however, is lengthy and is not concise which might confuse students with the core issue of the fee
 - v. Voted on C 3, C 4, and C 13 as Con statement
 - 1. C 3 – 3 votes, C 4 – 8 votes, C 13 – 0 votes
 - 2. C 4 is voted as the Con statement
- 5. INFORMATION ITEMS: None
- 6. NEW BUSINESS: None.
- 7. PUBLIC COMMENT: None.
- 8. REMINDER:
 - a. Next Meeting Date – Friday, October 24th, 2025 at 11 AM. Via Zoom

9. ADJOURNMENT: With no objections, OLIVO adjourned the meeting at 11:29pm
Meeting Minutes prepared by Jordan Ardaiz and reviewed by Katie Olivo.